

1 DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439  
[dbergeson@be-law.com](mailto:dbergeson@be-law.com)  
2 MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373  
[mmorton@be-law.com](mailto:mmorton@be-law.com)  
3 MICHAEL W. STEBBINS, Bar No. 138326  
[mstebbins@be-law.com](mailto:mstebbins@be-law.com)  
4 COLIN G. MCCARTHY, Bar No. 191410  
[cmccarthy@be-law.com](mailto:cmccarthy@be-law.com)  
5 BERGESON, LLP  
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500  
6 San Jose, CA 95110-2712  
Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
7 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000  
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
VERIGY US, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 || VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**Plaintiff,**

15 || vs.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

16 ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;  
17 WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON  
18 TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;  
and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a  
California Limited Liability Corporation,  
inclusive.

Judge: Honorable Howard R. Lloyd  
Ctrm: 2

### Defendants.

Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007  
Trial Date: None Set

1 Now before the Court is Verigy's Administrative Motion For Leave To File Document  
2 Under Seal. Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under  
3 Seal and the supporting declaration of Colin G. McCarthy filed therewith, the Court finds there to  
4 be good cause for granting Plaintiff's request to file documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE having been show, the Court finds that:

6 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the  
7 right of public access to the record in the following documents: Exhibits A-  
8 F and H-I of the Michael W. Stebbins Declaration In Support Of Verigy's  
9 Motion For Protective Order Relieving Verigy Of Duty To Respond Further  
10 To Second Amended Notice Of Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition Propounded By  
11 Defendants.

12 (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;  
13 (3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality  
14 interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;  
15 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and  
16 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

17 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Verigy's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under  
18 Seal is GRANTED.  
19

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2008

---

22 Hon. Howard R. Lloyd  
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28